



<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Appendices</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>E-1</b>
<b>1.0 Introduction</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 The Components of the Source Water Assessment	1-1
1.3 The Use of the CBP Watershed Model in the SWA	1-2
<b>2.0 The Watershed and Drinking Water</b>	
2.1 Source Water Delineation	2-1
2.2 General Description of Source Water	2-2
2.2.1 Physiography	
2.2.2 Topography	2-3
2.3 Basin Geology	2-3
2.4 Land Use	2-5
2.5 Population	2-5
2.6 General Hydrology	2-5
2.6.1 Streamflow Regulation	2-6
2.6.2 Flow Characteristics	2-6
2.7 The Drinking Water System	2-6
2.7.1 Other Greater Metro Water Systems	2-7
2.7.2 System Operations	2-7
<b>3.0 Time of Travel Analysis</b>	
3.1 Time of Travel Analysis	3-1
3.1.1 Methods	3-1
3.1.2 Verification of Spill Model Approach Using Hydraulic Equations	3-4
<b>4.0 Susceptibility Analysis</b>	
4.1 Potential Point Source Pollution Sites and Contaminant Inventory	4-1
4.1.1 Sources of Contaminants	4-1
4.1.2 Data Sources	4-1
4.2 Geographic Information Systems	4-2
4.2.1 Search and Query Application	4-2
4.3 PCS Ranking Criteria	4-3
4.4 Analysis Results	4-4
<b>5.0 Sites of Known Contamination</b>	
5.1 Sites of Known Contamination	5-1
5.1.1 Background	5-1
5.1.2 Site Assessments	5-1
5.1.3 Observations	5-2
<b>6.0 Non-point Source Modeling for Fecal Coliform and Pesticides</b>	
6.1 The Watershed Model's Role in the Source Water Assessment	6-1
6.2 Nutrient and Sediment Loads at the Source Water Intakes	6-5
6.2.1 Current Nutrient and Sediment Loads	6-5
6.2.2 Projected Population growth and Land Use Changes	6-7
6.2.3 Estimated Nutrient and Sediment Loads Under 2010 Conditions	6-10

## TABLE OF CONTENTS, LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES



6.3	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	6-13
6.3.1	Monitoring Data	6-14
6.3.2	Modifications to the Watershed Model	6-19
6.3.2.1	HSPF Modules for Simulating Fecal Coliform Bacteria	6-19
6.3.2.2	Travel Time Corrections for Low-flow Sources	6-20
6.3.3	Fecal Coliform Bacteria Input Loads	6-21
6.3.3.1	Accumulation Rates on Forest, Cropland, Hay and Pasture	6-22
6.3.3.2	Low-flow Loads From Small Tributaries	6-26
6.3.3.3	Fecal Coliform Loads in Wastewater, Urban Runoff and Drainage from Confined Animal Operations	6-27
6.3.4	Model Calibration	6-28
6.3.5	Analysis of Simulated Fecal Coliform Bacteria Loads	6-32
6.3.6	Susceptibility of Source Water Supply to Pathogen Pollution	6-37
6.3.6.1	Bacteria	6-39
6.3.6.2	Viruses	6-39
6.3.6.3	Protozoa	6-39
6.3.7	Nutrient Reduction and Environmental Protection	6-40
6.4	Pesticides	6-41
6.4.1	Pesticide Use in the Potomac River Basin	6-41
6.4.1.1	Methods and Timing of Application for Atrazine, Metachlor, and 2,4-D	6-42
6.4.1.2	Atrazine, Metachlor, and 2,4-D Application Rates and trends	6-43
6.4.2	Pesticides and Drinking Water Quality in the Potomac River Basin	6-47
6.4.2.1	Fate and Transport of Atrazine, Metachlor, and 2,4-D	6-47
6.4.2.2	EPA Drinking Water Standards for Atrazine, Metachlor and 2,4-D	6-49
6.4.2.3	Water Quality Monitoring for Atrazine, Metachlor And 2,4-D	6-50
6.4.3	Development and Validation of the Atrazine Pesticide Model	6-53
6.4.3.1	Model Development	6-53
6.4.3.2	Input Loads	6-54
6.4.3.3	Model Calibration	6-55
6.4.3.4	Risk Assessment Scenarios	6-56
6.4.4	Susceptibility Analysis for Pesticides	6-57
6.4.5	Additional Pesticide Monitoring to Lower Risk	6-59
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	
7.1	Recommendations for Source Water Management and Protection	7-1
7.1.1	Toxic Chemical Spills	7-2
7.1.1.1	Recommendations	7-2
7.1.2	Wastewater Treatment	7-3
7.1.3	Agriculture	7-4
7.2	Integrating Source Water Protection into Environmental Protection	7-4
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Public Participation and Presentation of Results</b>	
8.1	Public Participation	8-1
8.1.1	Citizen Advisory Group Efforts	8-1
8.1.2	Public Involvement	8-2
8.1.3	Technical Advisory Committee	8-3
8.2	Final Presentation of Results	8-3



**Appendices**

- Appendix A: Safe Drinking Water Standards
- Appendix B: Business Activities and Related Contaminants
- Appendix C: User Manual for GIS Search and Query Application
- Appendix D: Public Participation Records

**Figures**

Figure E.2.1 Potential point sources for fecal contamination	E-4
Figure E.2.2 Potential point sources for petroleum contamination	E-4
Figure E.2.3 Potential spill points within 24hr boundary	E-5
Figure E.3.1 Simulated average annual sediment loads by source under current conditions	E-8
Figure E.3.2 Simulated average annual total nitrogen loads by source under current conditions	E-8
Figure E.3.3 Simulated average annual total phosphorus loads by source under current conditions	E-9
Figure E.3.4 Average annual fecal coliform loads input into streams and rivers in the Potomac River by source	E-11
Figure E.3.5 Average annual fecal coliform loads delivered at WAD intakes by source	E-11
Figure E.3.6 Percent of average annual delivered fecal coliform load by region	E-12
Figure E.3.7 Matrix for pathogen susceptibility analysis	E-13
Figure 2.1 SWAP Area of Interest	2-1
Figure 2.2 Basin Provinces	2-4
Figure 2.3 Greater Washington Metro Water Service Areas	2-7
Figure 4.1 Time of travel segments	4-4
Figure 6.1.1 Modeling Segments in the CBP Watershed Model	6-3
Figure 6.3.1 Observed Fecal Coliform concentrations vs. flow for Lower Potomac	6-18
Figure 6.3.2 Comparison of observed and simulated concentrations	6-30
Figure 6.3.3 Observed vs simulated fecal coliform bacteria concentrations in Lower Potomac	6-32
Figure 6.4.1 Monthly range of simulated base case atrazine concentrations vs observed Concentrations at Chain Bridge and Delcarlia Reservoir	6-55
Figure 6.4.2 Number of days per year with atrazine concentrations greater than 3ug/L vs the percent of atrazine applied on days with high ratios of runoff to rainfall	6-57

**Tables**

Table E.3.1 Average annual simulated violations of the atrazine MCL	E-14
Table 2.1 Major Potomac Drainage Areas and Hydrography	2-2
Table 2.2 Principal Tributaries and Hydrography	2-3
Table 2.3 Land usages by activity and area (sq. mi.)	2-5
Table 2.4 Basin state demographics	2-5
Table 2.5 Filter media and site capacities for treatment facilities	2-8
Table 3.1 Potomac River reaches in the Spill model	3-3
Table 3.2 Spill model travel times for calibrated reaches of the Potomac	3-3
Table 3.3 Physical and geometric properties of selected reaches of the Potomac River	3-4
Table 3.4 Average velocities for various reaches in the Potomac River	3-5
Table 3.5 Travel times for various reaches in the Potomac River	3-6
Table 4.1 Database acronyms	4-2
Table 4.2 Types of activities and susceptibility ranking	4-4

## TABLE OF CONTENTS, LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES



Table 6.1.1	Watershed Modeling segments	6-2
Table 6.2.1	Simulated Annual Average Sediment Loads Under Current Conditions (tons/yr)	6-6
Table 6.2.2	Simulated Annual Average Total Nitrogen Loads Under Current Conditions (lbs/yr)	6-6
Table 6.2.3	Simulated Annual Average Total Phosphorus Loads Under Current Conditions (lbs/yr)	6-6
Table 6.2.4	Projected Population Changes 2010 and 2020	6-8
Table 6.2.5	Projected Land Use Changes 2010	6-9
Table 6.2.6	Simulated Annual Average Sediment Loads Under 2010 Conditions (tons/yr)	6-11
Table 6.2.7	Simulated Annual Average Total Nitrogen Loads Under 2010 Conditions (lbs/yr)	6-12
Table 6.2.8	Simulated Annual Average Total Phosphorus Loads Under 2010 Conditions (lbs/yr)	6-12
Table 6.3.1	USGS Gages Used to Determine Flow Percentiles	6-15
Table 6.3.2	Modeling Segment Flow Percentiles	6-15
Table 6.3.3	Monitoring Stations Used to Calibrate the Fecal Coliform Model	6-16
Table 6.3.4	Geometric Mean and Median Fecal Coliform Concentrations By Flow Condition ( cfu/100 ml)	6-17
Table 6.3.5	Travel Times and Annual Input Loads For Low-Flow Loads	6-21
Table 6.3.6	Per Capita Fecal Coliform Generation Rates, Storage Fractions, and Storage Decay Rates	6-22
Table 6.3.7	Human and Animal Populations By Modeling Segment	6-22
Table 6.3.8	Daily Total Fecal Coliform Generation By Species (cfu/day)	6-23
Table 6.3.9	Annual Fecal Coliform Application Rates (cfu/yr)	6-24
Table 6.3.10	Comparison of Simulated and Observed Geometric Mean Fecal Coliform Concentrations By Flow Class	6-29
Table 6.3.11	Calibration Parameter Values	6-31
Table 6.3.12	Annual Edge-of-Stream Fecal Coliform Loads (cfu/yr)	6-34
Table 6.3.13	Annual Delivered Fecal Coliform Loads (cfu/yr)	6-34
Table 6.3.14	Inflow-to-Outflow Ratios and Delivery Ratios	6-36
Table 6.3.15	Characteristics of Waterborne Pathogens	6-38
Table 6.4.1	General characteristics and recommended timing of application for atrazine, metolachlor, and 2,4-D	6-42
Table 6.4.2:	1997 pesticide use in crop production in Pennsylvania	6-44
Table 6.4.3:	1997 pesticide use in crop production in West Virginia	6-44
Table 6.4.4:	1997 pesticide use in crop production in Maryland	6-45
Table 6.4.5:	1997 pesticide use in crop production in Virginia	6-46
Table 6.4.6:	Pesticide usage information for the Potomac River Basin	6-47
Table 6.4.7:	Chemical properties and relative persistence of atrazine, metolachlor, and 2,4-D	6-48
Table 6.4.8:	EPA MCLs and HALs for atrazine, metolachlor, and 2,4-D	6-49
Table 6.4.9:	Summary of observed pesticide concentrations rom the USGS NAWQA Study, 1993-1996	6-51
Table 6.4.10	Summary of surfacewater atrazine and metachlor concentrations In the Potomac River basin, June5-16 1994	6-52
Table 6.4.11:	Important parameter descriptions and values in the pesticide model	6-54
Table 6.4.12:	Cropland, corn, soybeans, and grain acres in the Potomac River model segments	6-54
Table 6.4.13:	Pesticide loading rates necessary to sustain HAL concentrations at intakes	6-59